UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

J	Jose Hernandez-Zacarias Ca	se Number:	11-6466M	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a deternance represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponder to defendant pending trial in this case.			
I find by a prepo	FINDINGS Of the evidence that:	F FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States of	ar lawfully adr	nitted for nermanent residence	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, v	•	·	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal	eleased herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs brocement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	here is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of the	ourt incorporates by reference the material findings of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the recor CONCLUSIONS	rd.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
a corrections factorized appeal. The design of the United St defendant to the IT IS Oldeliver a copy of the IT IS Oldeliver a	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gacility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for tates or on request of an attorney for the Government be United States Marshal for the purpose of an appea APPEALS AND THIRD ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Ser	Seneral or his/ awaiting or se r private cons , the person in trance in conn PARTY RELI er be filed with	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. EASE in the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to	
Services sufficient	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is iently in advance of the hearing before the District C potential third party custodian.	s to be consid ourt to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 8 th day of September, 2011.				
		L		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge